

## Miller & Rhoads

### New Carpets and Rugs

An Up-to-Date Stock at Moderate Prices.

Our general business has had a phenomenal growth during the past two or three years—that's patent to everybody living in Richmond.

Do you know that two of the principal parts of that growth have been in CARPETS and RUGS?

The increase in these lines has been no less astonishing than it has been gratifying, and we set ourselves no easy task each season.

It's a fine department on the third floor, and you'll not do yourself justice if you neglect looking at our Floor Coverings before purchasing.

Tapestry Druggists, \$15.00; worth \$18.50.

Pretty Velvet Druggists, \$21.00.

Axminster, rich colorings, \$25.00.

Tapestry Carpets, 50c, 75c 05c yard—each a special value.

Axminster Carpets, \$1.25 yard; made to sell for \$1.50.

### Nemo "Self-Reducing" Corsets.



Every woman with a fully developed figure needs the Nemo "Self-Reducing" Corset. It is positively the only Corset made that will reduce the abdomen.

New features have been added to the Nemo this season—among them being a modification and improvement of the straight front, making the Corset much more comfortable and easy above the waist.

Our expert Corset fitters will demonstrate to you the superior points of the Nemo.

**\$3.**

### At the Theatres.

#### Morrison To-night.

Lewis Morrison will present his great electrical production of "Faust" at the Academy this afternoon and to-night. Mr. Morrison's playing as Mephisto is now a matter of theatrical history. His role is one giving the widest range for the player, and for twenty years, by his splendid acting, aided by sumptuous settings, he has kept up the production of this arrangement of the great Goethe drama. Last year to have been his last in the part, but the demands of the managers were such that he could not afford to let one more farewell tour go by.

"Faust" will be handsomely staged this year, and many novelties in electrical scenes are promised.

#### Billy Clifford's Winner.

"A Jolly Baron" at the Blou, with Billy Clifford as the Baron, is doing a splendid business. The dancing of the Constantine sisters is really the most remarkable that has ever been seen in either of the Richmond theatres. They and the splendid chorus present musical and dancing features that are high above the average. The scenery and the costumes are gorgeous, and the brightness of the comedy is proving delightful to the Blou patrons.

#### Pauline Hall To-morrow.

Many comic operas which have failed, and which failures fairly competent judges have been puzzled to account for, have met their Waterloo on account of a weak last act, which has destroyed all the good effect of an otherwise strong and interesting work. It will not do to appeal alone to the musical culture of the audience at the expense of the dramatic strength of the opera. The Paulines, who wrote "Ermine," and who are responsible for Pauline Hall's big success, "Dorcas," to be seen at the Academy Friday and Saturday, wrote to please the many instead of the few, it seems, for the press and public are unanimous in their praise. "Dorcas" is stamped as a work of high-class musical merit combined with a story of beautiful dramatic conception—certainly a rare combination to find.

### MAKES FINE REPORT.

#### Year's Work at Laurel Street Church Very Successful.

The Rev. J. A. Thomas, pastor of Laurel Street Methodist Church, went to the annual conference in Danville yesterday with the best report this church has had in many years. The total sum raised for all purposes has been about \$6,000, and the conference collections have been in full—something which this church has not done in the last fourteen years. The work of paying the indebtedness on the parsonage has steadily gone forward, and this year about \$1,000 has been raised. This amount includes payment of the principal and interest. This leaves an indebtedness on the parsonage of \$1,000, and it is thought the congregation of the church will pay this off this year.

Seventy members have been received into the church this year, and others will join later. The congregations have been good during the year, and the spiritual state of the church is said to be good.

The work accomplished by Mr. Thomas at Laurel Street Church has been a good one. Mr. Thomas is a good preacher and an indefatigable worker in every department of the work of the church, and is exceedingly popular among his own people and those of the community as well.

#### David Francis Landram.

Mr. David Francis Landram died at his residence, Third Avenue and Burns Street, in Highland Park, yesterday morning at 8 o'clock.

Mr. Landram until recently had been engaged in active business in this city, but his health began to fail, and he was compelled to give up his work.

Mr. Landram was born in Louisiana county, fifty-two years ago, and spent many years of his life in Charlottesville, where he was well known, from which place he came to Richmond. He is survived by

his wife, one brother, Mr. L. W. Landram and three sisters—Mrs. Kate Smith, Mrs. D. N. Meeks and Mrs. G. W. Grady.

The funeral will be from his late residence in Highland Park on Friday afternoon at 3 o'clock, St. John's Lodge, No. 38, A. F. and A. M., of which Mr. Landram was a member, will officiate with Masonic rites.

### MANY NEW CHARTERS.

#### The Corporation Commission Authorizes Numerous Industries.

The State Corporation Commission has granted the following charters: Blinn-Davis and Company, Inc., Norfolk, capital, \$10,000. Objects and purposes: To engage in all general merchandise and grocery business.

Essex Manufacturing Company, Norfolk, capital, \$10,000. Objects and purposes: To manufacture and publish toys, novelties, books, papers and magazines.

Home Steam Laundry Company, Inc., Norfolk, capital, \$10,000. Objects and purposes: To operate and maintain a laundry business.

Norfolk Mineral Water Company, Inc., Norfolk, capital, \$10,000. Objects and purposes: To produce and sell mineral water.

Interstate Street Railway Company, Bristol, capital, \$10,000. Objects and purposes: To operate and maintain a street railway.

Oak Hill Cemetery Company, Inc., Fredericksburg, capital, \$10,000. Objects and purposes: To operate and maintain a cemetery.

Fredericksburg, Henry Warden, president; A. B. Bots, vice-president; D. F. Warden, secretary.

Fredericksburg, capital, \$10,000. Objects and purposes: To provide, maintain and care for a burying-ground near Fredericksburg; to own real estate for the same purpose.

### POLICE BOARD.

#### Inspection Held in Afternoon and Meeting at Night.

The police department was inspected by the Board of Police Commissioners yesterday afternoon at 4 o'clock, and found to be in fine condition.

The board held a meeting in the evening and disposed of much routine work. The board also held a meeting in the morning and disposed of much routine work.

The board will hold a called meeting on November 20th to take up some unfinished business of more or less importance.

### Judge Barksdale Here.

Judge W. R. Barksdale, of Halifax, is in the city and is registered at Murphy's. Judge Barksdale is here to attend a meeting of the Educational Board of Richmond College, of which he is a member.

INDIANS MAY YET MEET THE TECKS

If Arrangements Fail for Thanksgiving Day, May Play Later.

If arrangements cannot be made for the transferring of games and the making of a schedule that will place the Carlisle Indians and the Virginia Polytechnic Institute football teams here on Thanksgiving Day, everything possible will be done to fix Saturday, December 3rd, as the day for the struggle between the two giant eleven. Thanksgiving Day falls on Thursday, and two days later, on the Saturday, would not make any very great difference. The crowd to see such a contest would be enormous in Richmond.

For several days negotiations have been in progress between interested citizens of Richmond and the State and the managers of the Virginia Polytechnic Institute, the Georgetown and the Carlisle eleven, with a view to having the Virginia Military Institute play the Virginia Polytechnic Institute in Washington. By placing the Indians and the Virginia Polytechnic Institute eleven together and the Virginia Military Institute against the Georgetown boys, both contests would be marked with equal teams. But as it is not, each game will be for one-sided.

The present arrangement is that the Virginia Military Institute boys are to play the Virginia Polytechnic Institute boys here and Georgetown and the Indians are to meet in Washington. By placing the Indians and the Virginia Polytechnic Institute eleven together and the Virginia Military Institute against the Georgetown boys, both contests would be marked with equal teams. But as it is not, each game will be for one-sided.

But in the event that the new arrangement cannot be made, an effort will be put forth to have the Indians meet the South's strongest football eleven—the Virginia Polytechnic Institute team—here on the Saturday following Thanksgiving Day.

THE ANNEXATION ORDINANCE UP

Judge Garnett Hears Attorneys On Legal Questions.

TWO DEMURRERS WERE FILED

Board of Supervisors and Mrs. J. H. Dooley Present Series of Objections.

Practically all of yesterday was consumed by the lawyers interested in the annexation of portions of Henrico county to Richmond in discussing the fine legal points of the ordinance and the constitutionality of the law under which the ordinance, which has for its object the extension of the limits of Richmond, was passed.

The hearing of the matter is in the State Supreme Court chamber, and Judge Garnett, of Mathews, is presiding, having been appointed by Governor Maxie to sit in the case. Mr. L. O. Wendenburg, Commonwealth's attorney of Henrico county is opposing the ordinance in its entirety, and he is being assisted by Hon. A. C. Braxton, of Staunton, and Major James H. Dooley, of Richmond, City Attorney H. R. Thomas, W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March

voters having signed a petition to be annexed, is represented in court by Mr. Thomas W. Gardner.

Through Mr. Wendenburg the board of supervisors of Henrico yesterday filed the following demurrer:

"The board of supervisors of the county of Henrico say that said petition is not sufficient in law—

(1) Because the act of the General Assembly of Virginia, approved March